# VALIDATED COST PREDICTION FOR ADDITIVE MANUFACTURING – COMBINATION OF A MODEL BASED APPROACH WITH AN EMPIRICAL STUDY

Matthias Schneck, Matthias Schmitt, Georg Schlick, Gunther Reinhart

#### DDMC Conference, 23.06.2020





# Introduction Fraunhofer IGCV Spotlight on research activities in Additive Manufacturing



#### Process development and multi-material processing



#### Exemplary Case study by Fraunhofer IGCV, SLM Solutions, ABB: "Multi-material Part (CCZ, 1.2709) for High Volume Injection Moulding Components" shown at Formnext 2017, Frankfurt

#### study by Fraunhofer tions, ABB: art (CCZ, 1.2709) for

# of metal parts

**Indirect Additive Manufacturing** 



Binder jetted sand mould (left), target metal part (right)

Implementation and training

#### Design and Engineering for Additive



Award-winning design "Functionally Integrated Shaft and Gear", Additive World Congress 2018 in Eindhoven





Implementation model (left) and logo of partner for quality-focussed training (right)



## Motivation Implementation of Additive Manufacturing in Industry

#### "How can our products and processes benefit from Additive Manufacturing technologies?"



> Knowledge of cost structure is one of the main hindrances for the utilization of AM as production technology.<sup>1</sup>

1.) Lindemann 2017



# State of Research Cost modelling approaches and cost structure of powder bed processes



 $\rightarrow$  Cost modelling approaches calculate the cost on an analytical basis using process parameters.

1.) Baumers & Tuck 2019 2.) Ruffo et al. 2006



# Research Approach Validated cost indicator for Additive Manufacturing (LPBF<sup>1</sup>)



 $\rightarrow$  Three independent sources are compared to obtain the validated cost indicator for 1.4404 (316L).

1.) Laser-based powder bed fusion



## Validated cost indicator for Additive Manufacturing (LPBF) 1<sup>st</sup> Source: Cost model and sensitivity analysis



→ Cost for 1.4404 calculated with the ACM are 0.55 T $\in$ /kg.

→ Most important cost influences are build rate, machine productive time, depreciation time and machine invest.



Validated cost indicator for Additive Manufacturing (LPBF) 2<sup>nd</sup> Source: Literature review on external supply cost (buy option)



- $\rightarrow$  Mass-based cost decrease with raising order volume.
- → External supply cost of AM parts (buy scenario) is negligible addressed in literature.<sup>1</sup>



# Validated cost indicator for Additive Manufacturing (LPBF) 3<sup>rd</sup> Source: Benchmark study of supplier cost



→ External supply cost range between 3.94 T€/kg and 0.58 T€/kg. → Cost spread by a factor of 6.8.

 $\rightarrow$  Cost of external supply decrease for high order volumes.



© Fraunhofer IGCV

### Validated cost indicator for Additive Manufacturing (LPBF) Result: Comparison of the information sources



- → Significant spread of cost: From 0.55 T€/kg up to 19 T€/kg.
- → Analytically obtained costs (based on ACM) meet the market prices for high order volumes.
- → Economic evaluation of AM parts should always include a benchmark of supplier quotes.



Outlook on certified training programs in Additive Manufacturing Fraunhofer "Metal Additive Manufacturing Professional"





→ Learn at 5 Fraunhofer Institutes offering a comprehensive background in Metalbased Additive Manufacturing!



#### Thank you very much for watching! Questions or remarks? → Leave a comment or get in touch!





M. Sc. Matthias Schneck Research Associate Additive Manufacturing

Fraunhofer IGCV

Am Technologiezentrum 10 86159 Augsburg Phone +49 821 90678-140 matthias.schneck@igcv.fraunhofer.de

www.igcv.fraunhofer.de

www.amlab.de

